

# The Column and Row Hilbert Operator Spaces

Roy M. Araiza  
Department of Mathematics  
Purdue University

## Abstract

Given a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  we present the construction and some properties of the column and row Hilbert operator spaces  $\mathcal{H}_c$  and  $\mathcal{H}_r$ , respectively. The main proof of the survey is showing that  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{H}_c, \mathcal{H}_c)$  is completely isometric to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ , in other words, the completely bounded maps between the corresponding column Hilbert operator spaces is completely isometric to the bounded operators between the Hilbert spaces. A similar theorem for the row Hilbert operator spaces follows via duality of the operator spaces. In particular there exists a natural duality between the column and row Hilbert operator spaces which we also show. The presentation and proofs are due to Effros and Ruan [1].

## 1 The Column Hilbert Operator Space

Given a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , we define the *column isometry*  $C : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H})$  given by

$$\xi \mapsto C(\xi)\alpha := \alpha\xi, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then given any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the  $n$ th-matrix norm on  $M_n(\mathcal{H})$ , by

$$\|\xi\|_{c,n} = \|C^{(n)}(\xi)\|, \xi \in M_n(\mathcal{H}).$$

These are indeed operator space matrix norms by Ruan's Representation theorem and thus we define the *column Hilbert operator space* as

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \left( \mathcal{H}, \left\{ \|\cdot\|_{c,n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right).$$

It follows that given  $\xi \in M_n(\mathcal{H})$  we have that the adjoint operator of  $C(\xi)$  is given by

$$C(\xi)^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \zeta \mapsto (\zeta | \xi).$$

Suppose  $C(\xi)^*(\zeta) = d, c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then we see that

$$c\bar{d} = (c | C(\xi)^*(\zeta)) = (c\xi | \zeta) = c\overline{(\zeta | \xi)} = (c | (\zeta | \xi)).$$

We are also able to compute the matrix norms on rectangular matrices. First note that for  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$C(\eta)^*C(\xi)\alpha = (\alpha\xi | \eta) = \alpha(\xi | \eta),$$

thus giving us the operator  $C(\eta)^*C(\xi) := (\xi | \eta)$ .

Now, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in M_{m,n}(\mathcal{H}_c)$ , we have the induced amplification

$$C^{(m,n)} : M_{m,n}(\mathcal{H}_c) \rightarrow M_{m,n}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H})) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}^m),$$

and thus, we have

$$\|C^{(m,n)}(\xi)\| = \|C^{(m,n)}(\xi)^*C^{(m,n)}(\xi)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|[C(\xi_{ij})]^* [C(\xi_{ij})]\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left\| \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^n C(\xi_{\ell i})^* C(\xi_{\ell j}) \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^n (\xi_{\ell j} | \xi_{\ell i}) \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that we have used the isometry

$$\varphi : M_{m,n}(\mathcal{H}_c) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}^m),$$

and since both structures are indeed operator spaces, we actually have that  $\varphi$  is a complete isometry of these operator space structures. This is the case since for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$M_p(M_{m,n}(\mathcal{H}_c)) = M_{pm,pn}(\mathcal{H}_c) \cong_{\varphi} \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{pn}, \mathcal{H}^{pm}) = M_p(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}^m)) \cong_{\varphi^{(p)}} M_p(M_{m,n}(\mathcal{H}_c)).$$

In particular we have

$$M_{m,1}(\mathcal{H}_c) \cong \mathcal{H}_c^m,$$

completely isometrically since

$$M_{m,1}(\mathcal{H}_c) \cong_C M_{m,1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H})) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}^m) \cong_C \mathcal{H}_c^m.$$

$C$  denotes the column isometry on the corresponding spaces. We now wish to present another method for computing the matrix norms in the column Hilbert operator space. Suppose that  $\alpha^{(h)} \in M_n$ , with  $(e_h)_{1 \leq h \leq p} \subset \mathcal{H}$  orthonormal vectors. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_h \alpha^{(h)} \otimes e_h \right\|_c &= \left\| \left[ \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right] \right\|_c \\ &= \left\| C^{(n)} \left( \left[ \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right] \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left[ C \left( \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right) \right] \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left[ C \left( \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right) \right]^* \left[ C \left( \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right) \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| \left[ \sum_{\ell} \left( \sum_h \alpha_{\ell j}^{(h)} e_h \mid \sum_g \alpha_{\ell i}^{(g)} e_g \right) \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| \left[ \sum_{h,\ell} \alpha_{\ell j}^{(h)} \overline{\alpha_{\ell i}^{(h)}} \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| \sum_h \alpha^{(h)*} \alpha^{(h)} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{(p)} \end{bmatrix} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

The same formula will also work for rectangular matrices.

## 2 The Row Hilbert Operator Space

We now wish to present the row Hilbert operator space. Recall that given a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  we have the canonical isometry between the conjugate Hilbert space and its Banach dual given by

$$\theta : \overline{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^*, \bar{\xi} \mapsto f_{\xi}, f_{\xi}(\zeta) := (\zeta | \xi),$$

and by the Riesz representation theorem we know that  $\|f_{\xi}\| = \|\xi\|$ . Define the *row isometry* by

$$R : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{**} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^*, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathbb{C}), \zeta \mapsto R(\zeta)(\bar{\xi}) = \theta(\bar{\xi})(\zeta) = (\zeta | \xi).$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathbb{C})$  is an operator space, then we have an induced operator space structure on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We define the *row Hilbert operator space* as

$$\mathcal{H}_r := \left( \mathcal{H}, \left\{ \|\cdot\|_{r,n} \right\}_n \right),$$

where if given  $\xi \in M_n(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$\|\xi\|_r = \left\| R^{(n)}(\xi) \right\|, R^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow M_n(\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}^n, \mathbb{C}^n),$$

is the induced amplification. The adjoint operator of the row isometry is given by

$$R(\xi)^* : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}, a \mapsto a\bar{\xi}.$$

This is true since given  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$(R(\xi)^* c | \bar{\zeta}) = \overline{cR(\xi)\bar{\zeta}} = \overline{c\theta(\bar{\zeta})\xi} = \overline{c(\xi | \zeta)} = c(\zeta | \xi) = (c\bar{\xi} | \bar{\zeta}).$$

Thus, we have that for  $\eta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}, c \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $R(\xi) : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, R(\eta)^* : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ , and

$$R(\xi)R(\eta)^* c = R(\xi)(c\bar{\eta}) = c(\xi | \eta),$$

and thus  $R(\xi)R(\eta)^* := (\xi | \eta)$ .

As we did with the column isometry, let us compute the rectangular matrix norm for the row Hilbert operator space. Given  $\xi \in M_{m,n}(\mathcal{H}_r)$ , we then have

$$\|\xi\|_r = \left\| R^{(m,n)}(\xi) \right\| = \left\| [R(\xi_{ij})] [R(\xi_{ij})]^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^m R(\xi_{i\ell}) R(\xi_{j\ell})^* \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^m (\xi_{i\ell} | \xi_{j\ell}) \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We also see that

$$M_{1,n}(\mathcal{H}_r) \cong M_{1,n}(\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{H}_r^n.$$

As for the column Hilbert operator space, we have the very convenient method for computing the matrix

norm. Letting  $\alpha^{(h)} \in M_m$ , and  $(e_h)_{1 \leq h \leq p} \subset \mathcal{H}$  orthonormal vectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_h \alpha^{(h)} \otimes e_h \right\|_r &= \left\| \left[ \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right] \right\|_r \\
&= \left\| R^{(m)} \left( \left[ \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right] \right) \right\| \\
&= \left\| R \left( \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \left[ R \left( \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right) \right] \left[ R \left( \sum_h \alpha_{ij}^{(h)} e_h \right) \right]^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left\| \left[ \sum_\ell \left( \sum_h \alpha_{i\ell}^{(h)} e_h \mid \sum_g \alpha_{j\ell}^{(g)} e_g \right) \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left\| \left[ \sum_{\ell, h} \alpha_{i\ell}^{(h)} \overline{\alpha_{j\ell}^{(h)}} \right] \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left\| \sum_h \alpha^{(h)} \alpha^{(h)*} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left\| [\alpha^{(1)} \dots \alpha^{(p)}] \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

We point out the dualities between the column and row isometries;

$$\begin{aligned}
C : \mathcal{H} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}), \xi \mapsto C(\xi)\alpha = \alpha\xi, \\
R : \mathcal{H} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{**} = \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathbb{C}), \xi \mapsto R(\xi)(\overline{\eta}) = (\xi \mid \eta).
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding adjoint mappings were defined for  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
C(\xi)^* : \mathcal{H} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \zeta \mapsto (\zeta \mid \xi), \\
R(\xi)^* : \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}, \alpha \mapsto \alpha \overline{\xi}.
\end{aligned}$$

This then gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
C(\eta)^* C(\xi) &:= (\xi \mid \eta) \\
R(\xi) R(\eta)^* &:= (\xi \mid \eta).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that we once again have an orthonormal set of vectors  $(e_h)_{1 \leq h \leq p} \subset \mathcal{H}$ . We will calculate the column and row matrix norms on the row matrix

$$\| [e_1 \dots e_p] \| \in M_{1,n}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Using our calculations as before, we know that

$$\| [e_1 \dots e_p] \|_c = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^p E_{1j} \otimes e_j \right\|_c = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^p E_{j1} E_{1j} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|I\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.$$

In contrast we see that

$$\| [e_1 \cdots e_p] \|_r = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^p E_{1j} \otimes e_j \right\|_r = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^p E_{1j} E_{j1} \right\|_r^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{p}.$$

Thus, we do have that the induced operator space structures on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H})$ , and  $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}, \mathbb{C})$  are indeed different. Suppose that  $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$ , thus  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$ . We then have that given  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ , that

$$C(\xi)\zeta = \zeta\xi \quad (1)$$

$$R(\xi)\bar{\zeta} = (\xi|\zeta) = \xi\bar{\zeta}. \quad (2)$$

In particular we see that both the column and row isometries are just the multiplication action of the vector  $\xi$ . This then tells us that for  $\xi \in M_n$ , that  $\|\xi\|_c = \|\xi\|_r = \|[\xi_{ij}]\|$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Given two Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ , then we have the completely isometric identification*

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{H}_c, \mathcal{K}_c).$$

*Proof.* Let  $T = [T_{kl}] \in M_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^n, \mathcal{K}^n)$ , and with this we define the induced operator

$$\tilde{T} \in M_n(\mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{H}_c, \mathcal{K}_c)) \cong \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{H}_c, M_n(\mathcal{K}_c)),$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_c \ni \xi \mapsto_{\tilde{T}} [T_{kl}(\xi)] \in M_n(\mathcal{K}_c).$$

Now, given  $\xi \in M_p(\mathcal{H}_c)$  we may assume that  $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \otimes f_j$ , where  $(f_j)_{j=1}^r \subset \mathcal{H}$  are orthonormal vectors. Let  $\mathcal{H}_o = \text{span}_j f_j$  and let  $\mathcal{K}_o = \text{span}_{k,l} T_{kl}(\mathcal{H}_o)$  and let  $(g_i)_{i=1}^q \subset \mathcal{K}_o$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{K}_o$ . We define the following complex numbers  $T_{kl}(i, j)$  as the coefficients given by

$$T_{kl}(f_j) = \sum_i T_{kl}(i, j) g_i,$$

and we set  $T_o(i, j) = [T_{kl}(i, j)]_{k,l} \in M_n, T_o = [T_o(i, j)]_{i,j} \in M_{nq, nr}$ . We see that  $\|T_o\| \leq \|T\|$  since  $T_o$  is merely the restriction of  $T$  to  $\mathcal{H}_o^n$ . Define the following pth-amplification

$$\tilde{T}^{(p)} = \text{Id}_{M_p} \otimes \tilde{T} : M_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_c \longrightarrow M_p \otimes M_n \otimes \mathcal{K}_c.$$

Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}^{(p)}(\xi) &= \sum_j \alpha_j \otimes \tilde{T}(f_j) = \sum_j \alpha_j \otimes \sum_{k,l} E_{kl} \otimes T_{kl}(f_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_j \otimes E_{kl} \otimes T_{kl}(i, j) g_i = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha_j \otimes T_{kl}(i, j) E_{kl} \otimes g_i = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_j \otimes T_o(i, j) \otimes g_i \in M_p \otimes M_n \otimes \mathcal{K}_c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in computing the norm we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}^{(p)}(\xi)\|_{M_{pn}(\mathcal{K}_c)} &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \sum_j \alpha_j \otimes T_o(1, j) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_j \alpha_j \otimes T_o(q, j) \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \text{Id}_{M_p} \otimes T_o(1, 1) & \cdots & \text{Id}_{M_p} \otimes T_o(1, r) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{Id}_{M_p} \otimes T_o(q, 1) & \cdots & \text{Id}_{M_p} \otimes T_o(q, r) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \otimes \text{Id}_{M_n} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_r \otimes \text{Id}_{M_n} \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \|\text{Id}_{M_p} \otimes T_o\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \otimes \text{Id}_{M_n} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_r \otimes \text{Id}_{M_n} \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \|T\| \|\xi\|_{M_p(\mathcal{H}_c)}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have  $\|\tilde{T}\|_{cb} \leq \|T\|$ .

We need only show now that  $\|T\| \leq \|\tilde{T}\|_{cb}$ . Begin by taking  $\xi = (\xi_l)_l \in \mathcal{H}^n$ . Recall that we have the identification

$$M_{n,1}(\mathcal{H}_c) \cong M_{n,1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H})) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H}^n) \cong \mathcal{H}_c^n.$$

Let  $(e_j)_{j=1}^p \subset \mathcal{H}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\text{span}_l \xi_l$ , and therefore we know that we may write for each  $l, 1 \leq l \leq n$ ,

$$\xi_l = \sum_{j=1}^p (\xi | e_j) e_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^p |(\xi | e_j)|^2 = \|\xi\|^2.$$

Now,  $T = [T_{kl}] \in M_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}))$ , and thus we see that

$$T(\xi) = \left[ \sum_{l,j} T_{kl}(e_j) (\xi_l | e_j) \right] \in M_{n,1}(\mathcal{H}_c).$$

Thus, at this point we decompose the matrix as

$$\left[ \sum_{l,j} T_{kl}(e_j) (\xi_l | e_j) \right] = [[T_{kl}(e_1)]_{kl} \cdots [T_{kl}(e_p)]_{kl}] \begin{bmatrix} (\xi_1 | e_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\xi_l | e_j) \\ \vdots \\ (\xi_n | e_p) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$[[T_{kl}(e_1)]_{kl} \cdots [T_{kl}(e_p)]_{kl}] \in M_{n,np}(\mathcal{H}_c), \quad \begin{bmatrix} (\xi_1 | e_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\xi_l | e_j) \\ \vdots \\ (\xi_n | e_p) \end{bmatrix} \in M_{np,1}.$$

We have the induced map  $\tilde{T} : \mathcal{H}_c \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{H}_c), \zeta \mapsto [T_{kl}(\zeta)]$ , and therefore the first matrix becomes

$$\tilde{T}^{(1,p)}([e_1 \cdots e_p]),$$

and if we write the coefficient matrix as

$$[(\xi_l | e_j)]_{l,j},$$

then we have the following inequalities;

$$\|T(\xi)\| \leq \|\tilde{T}^{(1,p)}([e_1 \cdots e_p])\| \|[(\xi_l | e_j)]\| \leq \|\tilde{T}\|_{cb} \| [e_1 \cdots e_p] \|_c \|\xi\| = \|\tilde{T}\|_{cb} \|\xi\|.$$

Thus, we have the desired equality and have shown that bounded linear operators between two Hilbert spaces are completely isometric to the completely bounded maps between the corresponding column Hilbert operator spaces.  $\square$

We now have the following dualities;

$$(\mathcal{H}_c)^* \cong \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{H}_c, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{**}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}^*}, \mathbb{C}) \cong (\mathcal{H}^*)_r.$$

Letting  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}^*$ , we then have

$$(\mathcal{K}_r)^* \cong \mathcal{H}_c^{**} \cong \mathcal{H}_c \cong (\mathcal{K}^*)_c,$$

where these dualities are complete isometries.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Given two Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$  we have the following completely isometric identification;*

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}^*, \mathcal{H}^*) \cong \mathcal{CB}(\mathcal{H}_r, \mathcal{K}_r).$$

By our dualites already stated, we then have the completely isometric identifications

$$(\mathcal{H}_c)^* \cong (\mathcal{H}^*)_r \cong (\overline{\mathcal{H}})_r.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Given two Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ , we have the completely isometric identification*

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}_c} \cong (\overline{\mathcal{H}})_c, \overline{\mathcal{H}_r} \cong (\overline{\mathcal{H}})_r.$$

## References

- [1] Edward G Effros and Zhong-Jin Ruan. Operator spaces. 2000.